UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,200	01/22/2004	Hsieh Kun Lee		8075
25859 WEI TE CHUN	7590 10/26/2007 NG		EXAMINER	
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC.			WIEHE, NATHANIEL EDWARD	
	1650 MEMOREX DRIVE SANTA CLARA, CA 95050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/764,200	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nathan Wiehe	3745				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 66(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. By be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 September 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,8,9,11 and 13-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,3-5,8,9,11,13-15,21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16,17,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	ıt(s)	•					
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/l	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 9 and 10, filed 4 September 2007, with respect to claims 1,3-5,8-9,11,13-15,21 and 22 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1,3-5,8-9,11 and 13-15 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 4 September 2007, with respect to claims 16-20 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Hardin does not disclose a bracket including a tap on the top edge of one side of the plates adapted for installing an indicator light thereon. However, Hardin clearly shows an upper tab portion (135) including a LED aperture (140) for supporting an indicator light, i.e. LED. Further, the limitation of "bent" is being treated as a product by process limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16,17,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hardin (6,556,437). Hardin discloses a mounting device assembly including a chassis including a plurality of electronic components (Hardin column 2, lines 17-23) and a mounting device for mounting fans (410) to the chassis. The mounting device

includes a tray (605) mounted to the chassis and a plurality of brackets, composed of bracket halves (405). The bracket comprises a closed end (305) and an opposed open end, in a U-shaped cross-section and dimensioned in compliance with the fan (410) (Hardin column 5, lines 19-27). The tray includes a plurality of pairs of mounted sections (610) each fixedly engaging with a corresponding bracket. Both the tray and the brackets are discrete from one another and are of a U-shaped cross-section. The brackets (405) include a supporting tab (135) extending from the top edge of one of the side plates and adapted for installing an indicator light (510) by means of LED support aperture (140).

In regard to claim 16, the limitation "a supporting tab bent from the top edge" is been treated as a product by process limitation; that is, that the tab is formed through bending. As set forth in MPEP 2113, product by process claims are NOT limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only to the structure implied by the steps. Once a product appearing to be substantially the same or similar is found, a 35 U.S.C. 102/103 rejection may be made and the burden is shifted to applicant to show an unobvious difference. See MPEP 2113.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1,3-5,8,9,11,13-15,21 and 22 are allowed.

Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3745

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Wiehe whose telephone number is (571)272-8648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. and alternate Fri., 7am-4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571)272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,200

Art Unit: 3745

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nathan Wiehe Examiner Art Unit 3745

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10/23/07